

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/938,221	08/23/2001		Seiichi Kawano	́ JP920000184US1	1698	
25299	7590	02/25/2005		EXAMINER		
IBM COR		N	VU, JIMMY T			
DEPT 9CC		02	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		LE PARK, NC 27	2821			

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_*				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/938,221	KAWANO, SEIICHI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jimmy T. Vu	2821					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI to cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 N	lovember 2004.						
•	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-17 is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-17 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•					
Application Papers		,					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have beer	received in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.					
•							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:						

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Despite applicant's disagreement, the examiner decides to provide new rejection as below. Other references have been incorporated to strengthen the examiner's position with respect to the brightness controlling apparatus comprising the evaluator and the display controller for controlling the brightness of the screen of the display unit.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 4-6 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oshikoshi (U.S. Patent number 5,042,078).

Regarding claim 1, Oshikoshi discloses a brightness controlling apparatus, comprising: an evaluator (29) for detecting a feature of a certain window displayed on a screen of a display unit (Fig. 1, col. 4. lines 50-55); and

a display controller (18) for controlling the brightness of said screen of said display unit according to said feature of said window, detected by said evaluator (Fig. 1, col. 4, lines 50-55).

Application/Control Number: 09/938,221

Art Unit: 2821

Regarding claim 2, Oshikoshi discloses the apparatus wherein said evaluator detects a type of application to be displayed in said window; and

said display controller controls said brightness of said screen of said display unit according to said type of said application software detected by said evaluator (Fig. 1, col. 4, lines 50-55).

Regarding claim 3, Oshikoshi discloses the apparatus wherein said evaluator detects a method by which data is displayed in said window; and

said display controller controls the brightness of said screen of said display unit according to said method by which data is displayed in said window, detected by said evaluator (Fig. 1, col. 4, lines 50-55).

Regarding claim 4, Oshikoshi discloses the apparatus wherein said evaluator calculates the display brightness in said window according to a draw signal issued to said window displayed on said screen of said display unit, and

said display controller controls the brightness of said screen of said display unit according to said display brightness in said window, calculated by said evaluator (Fig. 1, col. 4, lines 50-55).

Regarding claim 5, Oshikoshi discloses the apparatus wherein said evaluator detects a feature of a focused window on said screen, said focused window being selected from a plurality of windows displayed on said screen of said display unit (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 6, Oshikoshi discloses the apparatus wherein said display controller sets the screen brightness of said display unit in case the rate of the size of said window whose

Application/Control Number: 09/938,221 Page 4

Art Unit: 2821

feature is detected by said evaluator to the size of said screen of said display unit is over a certain

value (Fig. 1).

Remarks

This application contains claims 7-17 drawn to an invention nonelected without traverse

in Paper filed on 11/28/2003. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation

of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jimmy T Vu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1832. The

examiner can normally be reached on M - F: 9 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2800.

Jimmy Vu

February 14, 2005

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800